

OCULISTS AND AURISTS.
DEAFNESS.
IMPAIRED SIGHT.
NOISES IN THE HEAD.
CATARRHAL AFFECTIONS.
IN THE
THROAT.
CHRONIC CATARRH.
CATARRH OF THE TYMPANIC
MEMBRANE.
DESTRUCTION OF THE EUSTACHIAN TUBE.
CURSED.
CROISSANT STRAIGHTENED
IN ONE MINUTE.
and every disease of the Eye and Ear requiring either medical or surgical aid attended to.

DR. VON EISENBERG,
DR. VON EISENBERG,
DR. VON EISENBERG,
DR. VON EISENBERG,
author of "Surgical and Practical Observations on the Diseases of the Ear, with the New Mode of Treatment," at his office,
No. 210
BROADWAY.

OPINIONS OF THE NEW YORK PRESS.
CATARRH CURSED.
(From the Journal of Commerce.)

THE CASE OF HUTCHINGS, THE "LIGHTNING CALCULATOR."

There was published in these columns a few days since the remarkable case of Hutchings, the "Lightning Calculator," so well known to the public during the many years he exhibited his wonderful arithmetical powers at Barnum's Museum, by the celebrated oculist and aurist, Dr. Von Eisenberg, of this city. Hutchings is represented to have been at the point of death, and but for the timely intervention of the doctor, would now be resting in his grave. This is a remarkable case, and worthy of the attention of persons similarly afflicted.

We think it is but just to Dr. Von Eisenberg to call public attention to this case. There are hundreds in the community suffering from catarrh, who, like the "Lightning Calculator," it is not actually praying for death, are ready to accept of anything that promises to relieve them from their distress. To such we would say, do not be misled by inexperienced men, but consult, without unnecessary delay, Dr. Von Eisenberg, who, at least, has the honesty to assure his patients whether it is within his power to make them whole or not.

DEAFNESS CURED.
DEAFNESS CURED.
DEAFNESS CURED.
(From the Tribune.)

EARS TO THE DEAF.

Every man, and especially every woman, believes in his or her physician. There are philosophical minds which hold an abstract faith in Allopathy, or Homoeopathy, or Hydropathy, or some other form of scientific quack; but the world at large believes in the man, in the system, but in the doctor. Especially is this true as regards aurists and oculists. A man is sure that the blessing could have been restored to him by no other practitioner than that particular one by whom his eyes or ears were opened. Dr. Von Eisenberg is among our best known aurists. He has not lost his ears as a brother practitioner has eyes, and in whose skill, therefore, we believe above all others. But we hear of him from those who esteem him not merely as a surgeon, but as a benefactor. A recent case has been related to us, for the gratification of which we can vouch, though we can name no names. The patient was a lady who had been deaf from infancy, as a consequence of some of the ailments to which children are liable. Later in life she had taken a severe cold, and the patient was subject to intense suffering. The deafness was rapidly becoming complete, and the general health breaking down under the physical exhaustion attendant upon constant pain. Ordinary remedies and ordinary advice were useless, and Dr. Von Eisenberg was called in. We need not repeat his diagnosis; for that would be only a list of hard names to the general reader. But he detected at sight the seat of disease, first in one portion of the organism, then in another, and with manipulation as skillful as his insight was accurate, he removed the causes, and she who, from infancy, had heard with difficulty, and latterly hardly at all, was restored, first to perfect hearing and then to perfect health. Dr. Von Eisenberg's advertisement reminds us of this case, which we thus briefly relate, though not at his solicitation. Let him that hath not ears hear.

(From the Christian Times.)

RIGHT REPORTED.

CONCERNING EYES AND OCULISTS.

Of the five senses, that which we call seeing is the most important and most valued. In proportion as this sense fails us, we are reduced to helplessness. If entirely destitute of sight, how slow would be our progress in knowledge, and how limited our sphere of action and usefulness. Endowed with it, the universe becomes a new creation, clothed with beauty and diversified by that infinite variety which never fails to attract the mind and heart.

For several months past this has been a practical subject to us. An inflamed condition of the eye, communicating itself to the pupil, rendered the discharge of daily duties nearly painful, but dangerous. Attributing it to the effect of a cold, we endured it through the spring, with the hope that with the return of warm weather it would entirely disappear. But in this we were doomed to disappointment. Summer returned, but not our wanted sight. What might have been the result, either of further neglect or of incompetent treatment, we cannot say.

Shating our case to a friend, he assured us that a similar case in his own family had recently been treated with success by Dr. Von Eisenberg, of this city. Satisfying our senses by more particular inquiries that the doctor is no quack, but a scientific oculist and aurist, we concluded to seek the benefits of his very great. Though but a few weeks under his care, the appearance of our eyes has totally changed. The pupil is now full and clear, and the iris is entirely free from inflammation. The doctor says that the optic nerve has been weakened and the action arrested, and a chronic inflammatory state, affecting more or less all the other tissues, and threatening, if neglected, to end in Amaurosis, Ophthalmia, we believe, is the term which oculists apply to eyes affected as we were. Having obtained the much desired relief, we take great pleasure in acknowledging our indebtedness to the skill of Dr. Von Eisenberg, and of calling to the attention of others who may be suffering from a similar or worse condition of their eyes. It is said that Providence provides an antidote for every evil. This is certain; the eye, in this case, is subject to never rest, but to be thankful, then, that we have increased the tendencies which destroy the organ of vision, the progress of whose cure keeps pace with these tendencies, is not to anticipate of them.

OPINION OF DR. VON EISENBERG.

NEWS FROM EUROPE.

Arrival of the Australasian with Three Days Later.

Enlistment of British Subjects in the American Army and Navy.

Neutrality Advised by a Cabinet Minister.

MAXIMILIAN'S DIFFICULTIES SETTLED.

The Emperor About to Depart for Mexico.

Progress of the Danish War and the Conference Negotiations.

THE GARIBALDI RECEPTION.

Attempts to Float the City of New York.

Wreck of a Rebel Steamship in the British Channel.

JEN MACE'S REPLY TO JOB CUBURN.

The Cunard steamship Australasian, which left Liverpool at half-past ten o'clock on the morning of the 9th and Queenstown on the evening of the 10th April, arrived at this port last night.

Her news is three days later.

A private council was held in London, at Downing street, on the 9th of April.

Queen Victoria came to London on the 10th instant, for the purpose of holding a court at Buckingham Palace.

Lord Ashburton has retired from the firm of Barling Brothers, London.

The Right Hon. E. Cardwell was re-elected without opposition for Oxford on the 10th inst.

His speech at Oxford, said that he thought the conference on the Danish affairs would lead to a satisfactory result, and strongly urged neutrality in the American war.

Piccards were issued in London, on the 10th of April, by the police, offering three thousand pounds reward for information respecting certain cases of gold supposed to have been stolen.

A Swedish loan was issued at 92½ in London, on the 10th of April.

The Paris correspondence of the London Times is assured that a very friendly understanding, instead of the coldness which has prevailed since the affair of the Congress, now exists between the governments of France and England. The Paris Bourse on the 8th instant was firmer. Rentes closed at 62½.

The Delman Diet was dissolved on the 8th inst. by an Imperial Russian resolution, on account of the party intrigues and attacks on the government which have occurred.

The affairs of the Atlantic Steam Company (Galway line) were being wound up.

The steamer Hammonia, which had been purchased as a reinforcement for the Canadian line, which she enters under the name of the Belgien. She left Southampton for Liverpool on the 7th, and the gun fired on board at her departure exploded, killing the gunner.

The City of Baltimore, from New York, arrived at Liverpool about six P. M. on the 7th inst.

The Nova Scotia left Liverpool at half-past eleven on the morning of April 7, and Londonderry on the 8th for Portland.

The City of New York.
The Board of Trade had directed an official inquiry to be made into the accident to the City of New York steamer on Dunn's rock. Attempts to float the vessel were commenced on the 7th inst., but proved ineffective. They were renewed on the 8th with a like result.

The American Question.
INDISPENSABLE FOR THE UNION ARMY.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. in reply to Sir A. Agnew, Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE REAR-ADMIRAL ENLISTMENTS.
The Commander of the United States steamer Rear-Admiral had addressed a letter to the Marquis of Clanricarde on the 10th inst. in relation to the enlistment of British subjects in the American army and navy. The Marquis had replied to the Rear-Admiral on the 10th inst. in relation to the enlistment of British subjects in the American army and navy. The Marquis had replied to the Rear-Admiral on the 10th inst. in relation to the enlistment of British subjects in the American army and navy.

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.
In the House of Commons on the 8th inst. Mr. Layard begged to state, in answer to his honorable friend, that his Majesty's government had received reports from our Consul at Boston, dated at New York on the subject of the kidnapping of Irishmen who had been induced to go to the United States for various purposes. These reports had been made to the information which the public had been able to derive from the newspapers. At Portland forty-seven and at Boston one hundred and thirty Irishmen had been kidnapped. These persons had been taken to various parts of the United States, and were actually imprisoned for some time. When in a state of intoxication they were prevailed upon to enter the army of the United States. Lord Russell had already made a report on the subject to his Majesty's government. As soon as the government received information of what had taken place, they had immediately sent a dispatch to the United States to make inquiry and to ask for redress; and further to call on the United States government, in future, to protect British subjects who were kidnapped in the United States, to proceed to the United States. He trusted that Lord Russell would send an account of what had been done in the case of the persons who had been so ill-treated at New York. (Loudly.)

Garibaldi in England.

PREPARATIONS FOR HIS RECEPTION IN LONDON.—LORD PALMERSTON TO ENTERTAIN THE GENERAL AT A BANQUET, ETC.

Garibaldi, the guest of Mr. Forster, M. P., at the late of Wight, where he had been visited by Mazzini and other men of note. He would enter London on the 11th April, and preparations were making to give him a hearty reception. It is said that the committee for the reception of Garibaldi had agreed to confer upon the General the freedom of the city of London. There were only two dissenting votes.

Lord Palmerston will entertain Garibaldi at a banquet at Cambridge House, which a grand banquet was also being organized at the Reform Club.

Garibaldi visited Portsmouth Dock Yard on the 10th instant. He was received by Admiral Seymour and Lord William Campbell, and afterwards dined with the Admiral and his staff.

The Polish Question.

The Polish insurgent detachment had crossed the Prussian frontier into Poland, and had a sanguinary conflict with the Prussian troops.

The Price Ring.

JEN MACE'S REPLY TO JOB CUBURN.
(From Bell's Life in London, April 9.)
In an important article from the London Standard, dated April 9, we find a copy of the New York Clipper of the 2nd inst. containing an account of the price of cotton in New York. The article states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½. The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

Garibaldi in England.

PREPARATIONS FOR HIS RECEPTION IN LONDON.—LORD PALMERSTON TO ENTERTAIN THE GENERAL AT A BANQUET, ETC.

Garibaldi, the guest of Mr. Forster, M. P., at the late of Wight, where he had been visited by Mazzini and other men of note. He would enter London on the 11th April, and preparations were making to give him a hearty reception. It is said that the committee for the reception of Garibaldi had agreed to confer upon the General the freedom of the city of London. There were only two dissenting votes.

Lord Palmerston will entertain Garibaldi at a banquet at Cambridge House, which a grand banquet was also being organized at the Reform Club.

Garibaldi visited Portsmouth Dock Yard on the 10th instant. He was received by Admiral Seymour and Lord William Campbell, and afterwards dined with the Admiral and his staff.

The Polish Question.

The Polish insurgent detachment had crossed the Prussian frontier into Poland, and had a sanguinary conflict with the Prussian troops.

The Price Ring.

JEN MACE'S REPLY TO JOB CUBURN.
(From Bell's Life in London, April 9.)
In an important article from the London Standard, dated April 9, we find a copy of the New York Clipper of the 2nd inst. containing an account of the price of cotton in New York. The article states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½. The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 14½, and that the price of cotton in London is 14½.

The article also states that the price of cotton in New York is 1